UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/693,549                      | 10/24/2003                        | Henry B. Wheeler     | 20004/15-US-B       | 3597             |  |
|                                 | 7590 11/13/200<br>GHT & ZIMMERMAI |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| 150 S. WACKER DRIVE             |                                   |                      | SAINT CYR, JEAN D   |                  |  |
| SUITE 2100<br>CHICAGO, IL 60606 |                                   | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|                                 |                                   |                      | 2425                |                  |  |
|                                 |                                   |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                                 |                                   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                 |                                   |                      | 11/13/2008          | PAPER            |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |       |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occurrence   | 10/693,549   | WHEELER ET AL.  |       |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | JEAN D. SAINT CYR  | 2425  |       |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence ad  | dress |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | <b>J.</b> lely filed  the mailing date of this co  (35 U.S.C. § 133). |       |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | <u>_</u>   |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-85</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   | ·  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 2-85 are subject to restriction and/or e   | lection requirement.   |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner   | ·.   |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa   | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior   | ity documents have been receive  | ed in this National   | Stage |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |       |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary   |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) Notice of Informal Pa  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>   | 6) Other:  |   |       |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |       |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/693,549 Page 2

Art Unit: 2425

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 2-75, drawn to a system for determining an operating mode of a recording/playing device, , classified in class 386, subclass 46.
- II. Claims 76-85 drawn to an apparatus for monitoring the extraction of data from a memory, classified in class 709, subclass 216.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as extracting information from an audio/video system and comparing the extracted information with the stored information to form a comparison. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

If the case Applicant elects Group I:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention.

The species are as follows:

Figure 1, figure 2 and Figure 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,549

Art Unit: 2425

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Figure 1, claims 2-47.

Figure 2, claims 48-62.

Figure 3, claims 63-75.

The following claim(s) are generic: There is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 2425

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean Duclos Saintcyr whose phone number is 571-270-3224. The examiner can normally reach on M-F 7:30-5:00 PM EST.If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are not successful, his supervisor, Brian Pendleton, can be reach on 571-272-7527. The fax number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, dial 800-786-9199(IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jean Duclos Saintcyr

Application/Control Number: 10/693,549

Page 5

Art Unit: 2425

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425